

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,289	07/10/2001	Didier Amoux	264/264 7287	
21890	7590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	JER ROSE LLP	SERGENT, RABON A		
PATENT D 1585 BROA	EPARTMENT .DWAY		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 10036-8299		1711 DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/868,289	ARNOUX ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•				
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set for), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. a).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	j the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.74. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.74.	* **		V (DTOL 204)		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		omphant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-26,28,30-41 and 43-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other: ______.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

Rabon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1711

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation of 3.: The proposed amendment sets forth a combination of limitations not previously claimed. For example, the subject matter of claims 34-36 has not been previously claimed in combination with component (c), as claimed within claim 50. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11.: With respect to the rejection of claims 33-41 and 43-50 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, the rejection has been maintained for the reasons set forth within the final Office action. Support for the ambient conditions language is considered to be present only for processes and compositions that correspond to those of Examples 1 and 2; however, applicants have not established that the claims are of the same scope as that of the examples. Additionally, applicants' arguments with respect to the temperature conditions do not address the pressure conditions encompassed by "ambient conditions". Furthermore, contrary to applicants' assertions, adequate information has not been provided with respect to the ADIPRENE LF750D and BYK A530 components to enable one to determine exactly how the scope of the claims corresponds to the examples. The prior art rejections set forth within paragraphs 3-7 of the final Office action have been maintained for the reasons previously set forth and in view of the fact that applicants' arguments are based upon amendments that will not be entered. With respect to the prior art rejection set forth within paragraphs 8-11, the rejection has been maintained for the reasons set forth within the final Office action. Despite applicants' response, the position is taken that the examiner has set forth a prima facie case of obviousness. The position is maintained that applicants' have not rebutted the prima facie case by such means as a showing of unexpected results commensurate in scope with the claims. The examiner set forth this deficiency with respect to a showing of unexpected results within paragraph 11 of the final Office action; however, applicants have failed to address or rectify this issue.

RABON SÉRGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER